THE STRUCTURE OF CHIRIQUITOXIN FROM THE COSTA RICAN FROG ATELOPUS CHIRIQUIENSIS

Mari Yotsu,<sup>a</sup> Takeshi Yasumoto,<sup>a\*</sup> Yong Hae Kim,<sup>b</sup> Hideo Naoki,<sup>C</sup> and C. Y. Kao<sup>d</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Tohoku University, Tsutsumidori-Amamiya, Aoba-ku, Sendai 981, Japan
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul 131-650, Korea
- <sup>C</sup> Suntory Institute for Bioorganic Research, Wakayamadai, Shimamotocho, Osaka 618, Japan
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Pharmacology, State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, 11203 U.S.A.

Summary: The structure of chiriquitoxin, a tetrodotoxin analog isolated from the Costa Rican frog <u>Atelopus chiriquiensis</u>, was elucidated on the basis of NMR data. In the structure  $11-CH_2OH$  of tetrodotoxin was replaced by a  $CH(OH)CH(NH_2)COOH$  group.

Chiriquitoxin (CHTX, 1) was first isolated in 1975 from the Costa Rican frog <u>Atelopus</u> chiriquiensis.<sup>1)</sup> On the basis of <sup>1</sup>H NMR<sup>2)</sup> and mass spectra<sup>3)</sup>, its structure was postulated to differ from that of tetrodotoxin (TTX, 2) only with respect to the substituent at C-6. 2 is a potent neurotoxin of puffers<sup>4)</sup> and newts,<sup>5)</sup> and is an important neurobiological tool.<sup>6)</sup> Among derivatives and natural analogs of 2<sup>7)</sup>, 1 is unique in being as potent as 2 in lethality to mice<sup>1)</sup> and in blocking the voltage-gated sodium channel.<sup>8)</sup> whereas all others have markedly reduced biological activities.<sup>9)</sup> Earlier work with 1 was hampered by a scarcity of material and by difficulties in separating it from co-existing 2. In late June 1988, renewed collection of the frogs was successful. Using the paradigm which led to structural determination of natural analogs of 2 in newts<sup>7a)</sup> and in puffers, <sup>7b, c, d)</sup> we report here the structure of 1.

The skin (100 g) of <u>A. chiriquiensis</u> collected in Costa Rica was extracted with 3% HOAc. The extracts were chromatographed successively on columns of Bio-Gel P-2, Hitachi cation exchange gels 3011C and 3013C with 0.1 % HOAc-pyridine buffer (pH 6.5), and finally on a TSK Gel G1000 PW column with 0.05N HOAc. Separation of 1 from **2** was monitored by a TTX analyzer, <sup>10)</sup> by mouse lethality bioassays, and by TLC (silica gel 60 with pyridine-EtOAc-HOAc-H<sub>2</sub>O, 15:7:3:6).

1 (6 mg) was eluted before 2 (2 mg)<sup>11)</sup> on Hitachi gels 3011C and 3013C, and isolated as a colorless amorphous solid:  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  -17.3, (c 0.075, 0.05N HOAc). HR-FABMS (JEOL JMS DX-303HF) pointed to a probable molecular formula,  $C_{13}H_{20}N_4O_{10}$  (MH<sup>+</sup>, <u>m/z</u> 393.1258, found 393.1310). A positive ninhydrin reaction suggested the presence of NH<sub>2</sub> in the molecule. The band at 1667 cm<sup>-1</sup> in FT-IR spectra (Nicolet 7199) indicated the presence of a

СНТХ	(1)*		CHTX-13,6-1actone (4)**		
	С	Н	С	H	
2	156.6		156.7		
4	75.2	5.51 (d 9.4)	75.3	5.53 (d 8.5)	
4a	40.5	2.31 (d 9.4)	41.7	2.16 (d 8.5)	
5	73.5	4.40 (br s)	70/.6	4.71 (br s)	
6	72.1		84.3		
7	81.1	4.39 (br t)	77.7	4.30 (br t)	
8	72.7	4.40 (br s)	72.8	4.19 (d 1.5)	
8a	59.3		59.5		
9	70.9	4.00 (s)	70.7	4.03 (s)	
10	111.0		111.1		
11	70.3	4.90 (d 1.8)	69.7	5.20 (d 5.5)	
12	58.1	4.27 (d 1.8)	- 4	.85 (d 5.5)	
13	174.1		173.0		





4 CHTX-13,6-lactone

1. СНТХ	11 CH (OH) <i>R</i>	12 ) CH ( NH 2 S	13 )СООН
<b>2</b> TTX	11 Сн <sub>2</sub> Он		

R

3 11-norTTX- OH 6,6-diol guanidinium group, and two C=O bands appeared at 1741 and 1800  $\rm cm^{-1}$  in the spectrum measured with HCl. Comparison of COSY, HETCOR and DEPT spectra (GN-300) of 1 with those of 2 allowed assignment of all  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C signals of 1 (Table 1). Unlike 2, which exists in solution as hemilactal and 10,7-lactone tautomers,<sup>4)</sup> 1 existed mainly in the hemilactal form. The COSY spectrum of 1 displayed couplings between H-4/H-4a, H-5/H-7(W-type), H-7/H-8, and H-4a/H-9 (W-type). This supports the previous suggestion  $^{1,2)}$  that 1 has the same skeleton as 2. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1, no methylene signal due to  $CH_2$ -11 of 2 was present, but two new methine signals coupled to each other ( $\delta$ 4.27, <u>J</u>=1.8Hz, d and The signal at 174.1 ppm on  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of 1 and  $\delta$ 4.90, J=1.8Hz, d) were present. the band at  $1741 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in FT-IR spectrum (+HC1) suggested the presence of COOH. Oxidation  $(H_5IO_6)$  of 1 yielded 11-norTTX-6,6-diol (3), which was identified by HR-FABMS( $C_{10}H_{15}N_3O_8$ , MH<sup>+</sup>, m/z 306.0937, found 306.0861), as does 2.<sup>12</sup>) Carbon spectra of 1 obtained with longrange selective proton decoupling of the signal  $\delta 4.90$  (an oxymethine proton) demonstrated that a three-bond coupling with C-7 was present. These data are in accord with the conclusion that  ${\bf 1}$  is an analog of  ${\bf 2}$  in which the 11-CH\_2OH is replaced by CH(OH)CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)COOH. In a D<sub>2</sub>O solution containing 1% CF<sub>3</sub>COOD and 4% CD<sub>3</sub>COOD, the <sup>1</sup>H signals assigned to H-12 and H-11 were shifted downfield by 0.11 and 0.07 ppm, respectively, from those in  $D_2O$ . The shift-dependencies on the COOH dissociation also supported the  $\alpha$ -amino The pKas of COOH and  $NH_2$  were estimated to be 2.0 and 9.3, acid structure of 1. respectively, based on the signal displacements of H-12 depending on pH. $^{13)}$ 

Keeping 1 in a  $D_2O$  solution containing 1% CF<sub>3</sub>COOD and 4% CD<sub>3</sub>COOD led to the formation of a 13,6-lactone (4) as evidenced by FABMS measurement ( $MH^+$ , m/z, 375). Assignments of  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C signals of **4** were achieved by COSY and HETCOR (Table 1). The downfield shifts of C-6 (12.2 ppm), H-11 (0.30 ppm) and H-12 (0.58 ppm) of 4 and identical chemical shift of C-10 in **1** and **4** supported the conclusion that the lactone band at 1800 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the FT-IR spectrum (+HCl) of **1** was derived from a 13,6-lactone form and not from a 10,7-lactone form. The relative configuration of  ${f 4}$  at C-6, C-11 and C-12 was suggested by NOE measurements and by difference spectra to be  $(\underline{S})$ ,  $(\underline{R})$ , and  $(\underline{S})$ , respectively. The absence of NOEs between H-11/H-4a or H-11/H-8 suggested the stereochemistry of C-6 to be (S). analogous with that in 2. Irradiation of H-7 ( $\delta$ 4.30) of 4 enhanced signal intensities of H-11 (5.0%) and H-12 (7.9%), while irradiation of H-5 ( $\delta$ 4.71) gave no NOE enhancement. These observed NOE enhancements suggested an er<u>ythro</u> configuration at C-11 and C-12, which was also supported by the 5.5 Hz coupling of the signals from H-11 and H-12. $^{14}$ ) If a three configuration was present, a larger coupling constant would be expected.<sup>14)</sup> A11 these data support the structural assignment of 1.

Biosynthesis of 1 may involve 2 or its analog oxidized at C-11<sup>7d)</sup> and glycine. The high potency of 1 suggests that the sodium channel protein has specific binding sites for the C-12 NH<sub>2</sub> and/or the C-13 COOH. In addition, functionalities within the channel which

interact with the guanidinium and the C-9 and C-10 hydroxyls of **2** may be operative.<sup>15)</sup> <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data indicate that, under acidic conditions, H-12 of **1** and **4** slowly exchanges within one month with deuterium of solvents. However, the configuration at C-12 was not changed. This observation may lead to developing a specifically <sup>3</sup>H-labeled **1** for biochemical studies of the sodium channel.

<u>Acknowledgment</u> This work was supported by NIH (NS14551) and the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (DAMD17-87-C-7094); by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation; and by the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan. We thank Professor H.S. Mosher (Stanford University) and Dr. John W. Daly (NIH) for valuable discussions.

## **Reference and Notes**

1) Y. H. Kim, G. B. Brown, H. S. Mosher, F. A. Fuhrman, Science, 189, 151 (1975).

2) L. A. Pavelka, Y. H. Kim, H. S. Mosher, Toxicon, 15, 135 (1976).

3) R. D. Macfarlane, D. F. Torgerson, <u>Science</u>, 191, 920 (1976).

 4)(a) K. Tsuda, S. Ikuma, M. Kawamura, R. Tachikawa, K. Sakai, C. Tamura, O. Amakasu, <u>Chem. Pharm. Bull.</u>, 12, 1357 (1964). (b) R. B. Woodward, <u>Pure Appl. Chem.</u>, 9, 49 (1964). (c) T. Goto, Y. Kishi, S. Takahashi, Y. Hirata, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 21, 2059 (1965).

5) H. S. Mosher, F. A. Fuhrman, H. D. Buchwald, H. G. Fischer, <u>Science</u>, 114, 1100 (1964).
6) C. Y. Kao, <u>Pharm.</u> <u>Rev.</u>, 18, 997 (1966).

7)(a) T. Yasumoto, M. Yotsu, M. Murata, H. Naoki, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, **110**, 2344 (1988). (b) M. Nakamura, T. Yasumoto, <u>Toxicon</u>, **23**, 271 (1985). (c) A. Endo, S. S. Khora, M. Murata, H. Naoki, T. Yasumoto, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>, **29**, 4127 (1988). (d) S. S. Khora, T. Yasumoto, ibid, **30**, 4393 (1989).

 C. Y. Kao, P. N. Yeoh, M. D. Goldfinger, F. A. Fuhrman, H. S. Mosher, <u>J. Pharmacol.</u> <u>Exp.</u> <u>Therap.</u>, 217, 416 (1981).

9)(a) C. Y. Kao, T. Yasumoto, <u>Toxicon</u>, **23**, 725 (1985). (b) C. Y. Kao, <u>Ann. N. Y. Acad.</u> <u>Sci.</u>, **479**, 52 (1986). (c) H. S. Mosher, <u>Ann. N. Y. Acad.</u> <u>Sci.</u>, **479**, 32 (1986). (d) L. Yang, S. L. Hu, C. Y. Kao, T. Yasumoto, <u>J. Gen. Physiol.</u>, **94**, 38a (1989).

10)(a) T. Yasumoto, T. Michishita, <u>Agric. Biol. Chem.</u>, **49**, 3077 (1985). (b) M. Yotsu, A. Endo, T. Yasumoto, <u>Agric. Bio. Chem.</u>, **53**, 893 (1989).

11) Rf. values on TLC: CHTX 0.21, TTX 0.54; Retention volume on the fluorometric HPLC<sup>10b</sup>) (column size:  $\phi$ 0.46x25cm): CHTX 4.34 ml, TTX 4.96 ml; LD<sub>50</sub> to mice (i.p.): 14 µg/kg (acetate salt).

12) L. A. Pavelka, F. A. Fuhrman, H. S. Mosher, Heterocycles, 17, 225 (1982).

13) G. C. K. Roberts, O. Jardetzky, Adv. Protein Chem., 24, 447 (1970).

14) P. Garner, J. M. Park, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 53, 2979 (1988).

15)(a) C. Y. Kao, S. E. Walker, <u>J. Physiol. (London)</u>, **323**, 619 (1965). (b) C. Y. Kao, <u>Toxicon</u>, supplement, **3**, 211 (1983).

(Received in Japan 15 March 1990)